

The Euler-Kockel-Heisenberg Lagrangian at Finite Temperature

J. L. Tomazelli *

*Departament de Física Teòrica, IFIC-CSIC, Universitat de València
46100 Burjassot, València, Spain.*

L. C. Costa

*Instituto de Física Teórica,
Universidade Estadual Paulista,
01405-900, São Paulo, Brazil.*

Abstract

In the present work we investigate temperature effects on the spinor and scalar effective QED, in the context of Thermo Field Dynamics. Following Weisskopf's zero-point energy method, the problem of charge renormalization is reexamined and high temperature contributions are extracted from the thermal correction for the Lagrangian densities.

*On leave from Departamento de Física e Química, UNESP, Guaratinguetá, Brazil.

I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of the finite temperature effects in Effective Quantum Electrodynamics dates back the pioneering paper by W. Dittrich [1]. Motivated by the works of Weinberg [2] and others [3], who investigated finite temperature effects in a variety of models in quantum field theory, Dittrich performed a detailed analysis of the finite temperature effects on the spinor and scalar QED in the presence of a constant magnetic field. In particular, he examined the Euler-Heisenberg effective Lagrangian [4] at finite temperature in the context of the elegant Fock-Schwinger proper-time method [5].

Almost ten years ago, Loewe and Rojas [6] extended Dittrich's work in the sense that they considered a general constant electromagnetic field. They found an effective thermal Euler-Heisenberg Lagrangian from which the effective thermal coupling constant and an effective thermal mass for the photon were identified. While Dittrich made use of the so-called imaginary time formalism [7] in order to implement temperature effects, Loewe and Rojas employed the real-time formalism known as Thermo Field Dynamics (TFD) [8]. They argued that, despite the complication with doubling the number of fields, TFD allowed them to have a clear factorization of the interesting thermal effects. Along the same line, there are also the works by Elmfors *et al* [9], in which the problem of charged fermions in a (weak) constant magnetic field is investigated. They found that, in the high temperature limit, a logarithmic temperature dependence to the effective Lagrangian density is in agreement with [6].

In another important work on the issue of nonlinear electromagnetic interactions at finite temperature, Brandt *et al* [10] considered the analytically continued imaginary-time thermal perturbation theory [11], showing on quite general grounds that, for high temperatures, the electron-positron box diagram contribution to the effective action has a finite nonzero limit. In addition, from arguments of Lorentz invariance, they also addressed the absence of the logarithmic temperature dependence in the nonrelativistic static case as well as in the long wavelength limit.

From this scenario, there remain many questions concerning the behaviour of the Effective QED in the (low and high) temperature limit and, therefore, further investigations must be done in order to elucidate some aparent contradictory results found in the literature.

With this in mind, the purpose of this paper is two fold: the first is to bring new light to the problem of high temperature effective spinor and scalar QED using an alternative approach based on TFD; the second, is to show the versatility of the (physically intuitive) zero-point energy method due to Weisskopf [13] which recently, has been improved from a mathematical standpoint in a paper by one of the authors [14]. In [14], the (zero-temperature) Euler-Kockel-Heisenberg (E-K-H) Lagrangian was rederived for QED (spinor and scalar) and QED₃ following the approach due to Berestetskii, Lifshitz and Pitaevski [15] (which is inspired by Weisskopf ideas), employing Pauli-Villars-Rayski regularization prescription [16] in order to keeping track of divergent sums and momentum integrals.

Thus, following [14], we study, in the next section, the problem of charge renormalization and the high temperature contribution is extracted from the thermal correction for the Lagrangian density. In section III, a similar calculation is done in the case of scalar QED and a closed expression for the high temperature E-K-H effective Lagrangian density is obtained. Finally, in section IV, we make some concluding remarks.

II. THE E-K-H LAGRANGIAN AT FINITE TEMPERATURE

The Dirac Hamiltonian for the electron-positron field in the presence of a constant and homogeneous electromagnetic field is written in Fock space as

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} (a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} + b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}) + \varepsilon_0 . \quad (1)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{H} | 0 \rangle = - \sum_{\mathbf{p}, \sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(-)} , \quad (2)$$

is the vacuum zero-point energy and $\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}^{(-)}$ is the energy density related to the negative frequency solution of Dirac equation in the presence of the electromagnetic field.

Following [15], the E-K-H effective Lagrangian density of the electromagnetic field, which accounts for the non-linear vacuum polarization effects is given by

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{ren} - \mathcal{L}_0 = -[\varepsilon_0 - (\varepsilon_0)_{E=H=0}], \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_0$ and $\mathcal{L}_{ren}$ are, respectively, the original and renormalized Lagrangian densities for the applied external electromagnetic field.

In order to study temperature effects in the E-K-H theory we make use of the so-called Thermo Field Dynamics (TFD). In TFD, temperature is introduced through a Bogoliubov transformation in the vacuum state of the system. In the present case, for each mode $(\mathbf{p}, \sigma)$, the vacuum state of the electron-positron field in the external field transforms as

$$|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle_\beta = (1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1/2} \{ |0\rangle_a \otimes |0\rangle_b + e^{-\beta\epsilon/2} a^\dagger \tilde{a} |0\rangle_a \otimes b^\dagger \tilde{b} |0\rangle_b \}. \quad (4)$$

where $\tilde{a}$ and $\tilde{b}$ are annihilation operators of auxiliary fields which act on their corresponding vacuum sectors [8] and $\beta = (1/kT)$ (k is the Boltzmann constant and T the temperature).

Considering the case where only a magnetic field is present, the natural thermal generalization of (2) is

$$\begin{aligned} \langle 0 | \mathcal{H} | 0 \rangle_\beta &= \varepsilon_0^\beta = \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \{ \langle 0 | a^\dagger a | 0 \rangle_\beta + \langle 0 | b^\dagger b | 0 \rangle_\beta - \langle 0 | 1 | 0 \rangle_\beta \} \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}\sigma}}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$-\epsilon_{\mathbf{p},\sigma}^{(-)} = -\sqrt{m^2 + (2n + 1 - \sigma)|e|H + p_z^2} \quad (6)$$

is the energy levels of an electron with charge $-|e|$ in a constant and uniform magnetic field $H_z = -H$. In (6) $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $\sigma = \pm 1$. For $T \rightarrow 0$ the correct zero temperature result, expression (2), is recovered.

It must be stressed that the above expression for the thermal zero-point energy was obtained from the TFD vacuum state (4), which, by construction, accounts for the interaction between the fermion field and a thermal reservoir. Hence, in contrast with the functional

action approach, there is no physical reason to consider separately the zero temperature contribution (say the the E-K-H Lagrangian). In fact, this kind of factorization emerges in a natural way in the Weisskopf's formalism extended to finite temperature theories.

Considering the density of momentum states

$$\frac{|e|H}{2\pi} \frac{dp_z}{2\pi}, \quad (7)$$

the sum over the z -component of the electron momenta in (5) goes to the continuum and the negative vacuum zero-point energy turns out to be

$$\varepsilon_0^\beta = \frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, \epsilon_{n\sigma} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{n\sigma}}} - \frac{1}{1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon_{n\sigma}}} \right\} \quad (8)$$

where we have already changed the notation $p_z \rightarrow p$. It is convenient to rewrite (8) as

$$\varepsilon_0^\beta = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, \epsilon_{n\sigma} \left\{ \frac{\sinh(\beta\epsilon_{n\sigma})}{1 + \cosh(\beta\epsilon_{n\sigma})} \right\}. \quad (9)$$

Despite the complication in solving the above divergent momentum integral one can investigate its high temperature limit in order to obtain an asymptotic expression for the thermal zero-point energy. Taking $\beta \rightarrow 0$ in (9) we find

$$\varepsilon_0^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n\sigma} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, \epsilon_{n\sigma} \left\{ \frac{6\beta(\alpha_{n\sigma} + p^2)^{1/2}}{12 + \beta^2(\alpha_{n\sigma} + p^2)} \right\} \quad (10)$$

where we have introduced $\alpha_{n,\sigma}$ through

$$\epsilon_{n\sigma}^2 = m^2 + (2n + 1 - \sigma)|e|H + p^2 = \alpha_{n\sigma} + p^2. \quad (11)$$

Now, in order to keep under control the divergence in (10), we make use the gamma function integral representation, namely

$$\frac{1}{A^{1+\delta}} = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \, \eta^\delta e^{-A\eta}, \quad (12)$$

valid for $\delta > -1$, which allow us to make an analytical regularization [12] through the choice of an appropriated δ value. Hence, it follows that

$$\varepsilon_0^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} \sum_{n\sigma} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \, \eta^\delta e^{-(12+\beta^2\alpha_{n\sigma})\eta} \left\{ 6\sqrt{\pi}\alpha_{n\sigma} \eta^{-1/2} - 3\eta^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial\beta} \left(\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta^2\eta}} \right) \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where the gaussian integration over p has already been performed. Calculating the β derivative in the last term of (13) and making use of the identity

$$\sum_{n\sigma} e^{-\beta^2 \alpha_{n\sigma} \eta} = e^{-\beta^2 m^2 \eta} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\beta^2 (2|e|Hn)\eta} \right) = e^{-\beta^2 m^2 \eta} \coth(\beta^2 |e|H\eta), \quad (14)$$

we rewrite (13) as

$$\varepsilon_0^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = A + B + C \quad (15)$$

where

$$A = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{6\sqrt{\pi}m^2}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \eta^{-3/2+1+\delta} e^{-(12+\beta^2 m^2)\eta} \coth(\beta^2 |e|H\eta), \quad (16)$$

$$B = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{6\sqrt{\pi}|e|H}{\Gamma(1+\delta)} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \eta^{-3/2+1+\delta} e^{-(12+\beta^2 m^2)\eta} \operatorname{csch}^2(\beta^2 |e|H\eta) \quad (17)$$

and

$$C = \frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{3\sqrt{\pi}}{\beta^2 \Gamma(1+\delta)} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \eta^{-3/2+\delta} e^{-(12+\beta^2 m^2)\eta} \coth(\beta^2 |e|H\eta). \quad (18)$$

The above integrals are finite objects and therefore the integrands in (16)-(18) can be expanded in power series for $\beta m \ll 1$, within convergence domain which corresponds to the analytic continuation $\delta > -1$. In order to recover the original theory we take the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$, after performing the η -integration. As a result we obtain, up to $|e|^4$,

$$A \simeq \frac{3\sqrt{12}m^2}{\pi\beta^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) - \frac{|e|^2 H^2}{4(12)^{3/2}\pi} m^2 \beta^2 \left(1 - \frac{3}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) + \frac{|e|^4 H^4}{12(12)^{7/2}\pi} m^2 \beta^6, \quad (19)$$

$$B \simeq -\frac{2(12)^{3/2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\beta^4} \left(1 + \frac{3}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) + \frac{|e|^2 H^2}{2\sqrt{12}\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) - \frac{3|e|^4 H^4 \beta^4}{40(12)^{5/2}\pi} \left(1 - \frac{5}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right), \quad (20)$$

and

$$C \simeq -\frac{(12)^{3/2}}{\pi} \frac{1}{\beta^4} \left(1 + \frac{3}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) + \frac{|e|^2 H^2}{4\sqrt{12}\pi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right) - \frac{|e|^4 H^4 \beta^4}{80(12)^{5/2}\pi} \left(1 - \frac{5}{24}\beta^2 m^2 \right), \quad (21)$$

so that (3) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\mathcal{L}^{\beta\rightarrow 0} &= \mathcal{L}_{ren}^{\beta\rightarrow 0} - \mathcal{L}_0 = -[\varepsilon_0^{\beta\rightarrow 0} - (\varepsilon_0)_{H=0}^{\beta\rightarrow 0}] \\ &\simeq -\frac{|e|^2 H^2}{8\pi} \left(\frac{6}{\sqrt{12}} - \frac{5}{(12)^{3/2}} m^2 \beta^2 \right) + \frac{|e|^4 H^4}{\pi} \left(\alpha \frac{m^2 \beta^6}{(12)^{7/2}} - \frac{7\beta^4}{80(12)^{5/2}} \right)\end{aligned}\quad (22)$$

and, therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_{ren}^{\beta\rightarrow 0} &= \mathcal{L}_0 + \delta\mathcal{L}^{\beta\rightarrow 0} \\ &\simeq -\frac{|e|^2 H^2}{8\pi} \left[1 + \left(\frac{6}{\sqrt{12}} - \frac{5}{(12)^{3/2}} m^2 \beta^2 \right) \right] \\ &= -\frac{H^2 e_{ren}^2}{8\pi},\end{aligned}\quad (23)$$

where terms up to β^2 have been discarded and the renormalized charge is given by

$$e \rightarrow e_{ren}^{\beta\rightarrow 0} = e \left[1 + \left(\frac{6}{\sqrt{12}} - \frac{5}{(12)^{3/2}} m^2 \beta^2 \right) \right]^{1/2}. \quad (24)$$

III. SCALAR QED

When spin effects become negligible, spinor QED reduces to scalar QED and a quite different theory then arise. In s-QED, the Hamiltonian for the charged boson field in the presence of an external electromagnetic field turns out to be

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}}) - \varepsilon_0. \quad (25)$$

where

$$\varepsilon_0 = \langle 0 | \mathcal{H} | 0 \rangle = - \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}^{(-)} \doteq - \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}. \quad (26)$$

Using the corresponding TFD-vacuum state for each mode of the boson field

$$|0\rangle \rightarrow |0\rangle_\beta = (1 + e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{1/2} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\beta\epsilon}{2} (a^\dagger \tilde{a}^\dagger \otimes b^\dagger \tilde{b}^\dagger) \right\} |0\rangle_a \otimes |0\rangle_b \quad (27)$$

and, again, considering the case where there is just a magnetic field, (26) becomes

$$\varepsilon_0^\beta = \langle 0 | \mathcal{H} | 0 \rangle_\beta = \sum_{\mathbf{p}} \epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} \left\{ \frac{-2 + e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}}{1 - e^{\beta\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}}}} \right\} \quad (28)$$

where,

$$-\epsilon_{\mathbf{p}} = -\sqrt{m^2 + 2n|e|H + p^2} \quad (29)$$

is the free spin degeneracy energy levels of the boson field with charge $-|e|$, in a constant and uniform magnetic field $H_z = -H$. Considering the corresponding density of momentum states, we have

$$\varepsilon_0^\beta = \frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \, \epsilon_n \left\{ \frac{-2 + e^{\beta\epsilon_n}}{1 - e^{\beta\epsilon_n}} \right\}. \quad (30)$$

As in the fermion case, the above integral is difficult to be solved analytically. Again, we are interested in its asymptotic behavior. At first, we take the low temperature limit. In this limit, it is easy to see that expression (30) leads to the expected zero temperature result. On the other hand, for $T \rightarrow \infty$ one can determine *a priori*, the high temperature effective Lagrangian density defined in (3), i.e.,

$$-\varepsilon_0^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} - \epsilon_n - \frac{\beta\epsilon_n^2}{2} \right\} \quad (31)$$

where terms up to second order in β were neglected.

The above momentum integral presents different degrees of divergences at the ultraviolet, which are more severe than in the spinor case, demanding a consistent subtraction scheme. In order to extract finite results for the high temperature zero-point energy, we employ Pauli-Villars-Rayski regularization prescription [16]. Following [14], where the motivation behind the use of such regularization scheme is discussed in detail, we substitute (31) by its regularized expression

$$-(\varepsilon_0^R)^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = -\sum_i c_i (\varepsilon_{0,i})^{\beta \rightarrow 0}, \quad (32)$$

where

$$(\varepsilon_{0,i})^{\beta \rightarrow 0} = -\frac{|e|H}{(2\pi)^2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta} - \epsilon_{n,i} - \frac{\beta\epsilon_{n,i}^2}{2} \right\}. \quad (33)$$

The linear, quadratic, cubic and logarithmic divergence appearing in (31) might be eliminated by imposing on the coefficients c_i 's the following conditions

$$\sum_i^N c_i = 0, \quad \sum_i^N c_i m_i^2 = 0. \quad (34)$$

In (34), N is the total number of regulators and the coefficients are such that $c_0 = 1$ and $m_0 = m$ is the bare mass of the boson field.

From this scenario, the regularized high temperature effective Lagrangian density $\delta\mathcal{L}^R$ is constructed following the same steps done in the last section. However, despite the first term in (31) which do not contribute to $\delta\mathcal{L}^R$ in virtue of the first condition in (34), the remaining two terms may be handled with the help of (12), keeping under control spurious *finite* terms that violates the invariance of the theory under reflections $H \rightarrow -H$. This kind of odd-parity terms, which also appear in the zero-temperature theory, are subtracted by the addition of finite conterterms with opposite sign. As a final result we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathcal{L} = & \frac{m^4}{8\pi^2} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \frac{e^{-\eta}}{\eta^3} \left\{ -\eta b \coth(\eta b) + 1 - \frac{1}{3} b^2 \eta^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{m^5 \beta \sqrt{\pi}}{8\pi^2} \int_{0+}^{\infty} d\eta \frac{e^{-\eta}}{\eta^{7/2}} \left\{ -\eta b \coth(\eta b) + 1 - \frac{1}{3} b^2 \eta^2 \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

where $b = |e|H/m^2$, and a charge renormalization has been performed with the help of conditions (34).

IV. CONCLUDING REMARKS

In this paper we applied the formalism of Thermo Field Dynamics in order to study temperature effects in the scope of spinor and scalar effective QED. Improving Weisskopf's zero-point energy method we constructed a high temperature E-K-H Lagrangian density addressing the problem of charge renormalization at high temperature.

In the spinor case, we found that the renormalized coupling constant, expression (24), reaches a maximum slightly above its unrenormalized value as β approaches zero. This result is in complete agreement with that of Brandt *et al* [10] in the sense that at high temperatures a finite nonzero contribution to the effective Lagrangian density is expected. We have also explicitly shown that for the case of a weak external magnetic field no logarithmic

temperature dependence occurs. We must point out that such a logarithmic behaviour might be expected in the low temperature limit [14], where it may be related to the ultraviolet divergence of the theory at zero temperature [10].

Yet, we might argue that, in the high temperature regime, a logarithmic dependence would be obtained if we improperly define the effective lagrangian (3) as

$$\delta\mathcal{L} = -[\varepsilon_0^{\beta\rightarrow 0} - (\varepsilon_0^{\beta\rightarrow\infty})_{E=H=0}], \quad (36)$$

but than its physical meaning becomes obscured and unjustifiable in the context of Weisskopf approach, where the quantum nature of the *thermal* vacuum is accounted for since the very beginning, and the corresponding nonlinear contributions to Maxwell's Lagrangian do not appear as corrections to zero temperature QED. Therefore, there is no need for a previous charge renormalization in the low temperature sector [14] since it is absent from expansions (10) and (31).

In section III, scalar QED is considered and a similar calculation is performed. The divergences appearing in the asymptotic expression for the thermal zero-point energy (31) were regularized by means of the Pauli-Villars-Rayski subtraction scheme. Together with the specific conditions arising from different degrees of divergence in each term of (31), the requirement of parity invariance demanded the addition of finite counterterms so that parity invariance was retained.

In addition to the academic character of the subject, a better understanding of thermal effects in the context of Effective QED is fundamental, since the range of aplicability is broad [17]. Along these lines, we also conclude that Weisskopf's method in the context of TFD provides a powerfull tool for the investigation of problems associated with radiative corrections in finite temperature quantum field theory.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Holger Gies for helpful comments and references. JLT thanks Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP, Brazil) grant 2000/14758-2 and Spanish MCyT grant PB98-0693, for the partial financial support. LCC is grateful to FAPESP for the financial support.

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Dittrich, Phys. Rev. D **19**, 2385 (1979).
- [2] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3357 (1974).
- [3] L. Dolan and R. Jackiw, Phys. Rev. D **9**, 3320 (1974), C. Bernard, *ibid* **9**, 3312 (1974)
- [4] H. Euler and B. Kockel, Naturwiss. **23**, 246 (1935), H. Euler, Ann. Physik. V **26**, 398 (1936), W. Heisenberg and H. Euler, Zeits. für Phys. **98**, 714 (1936).
- [5] V. Fock, Physik. Z. Sowjetunion **12** 404 (1937); J. Schwinger, Phys. Rev. **82**, 664 (1951).
- [6] M. Loewe and J. C. Rojas, Phys. Rev. D **46**, 2689 (1992).
- [7] T. Matsubara, Prog. Theor. Phys. **14**, 351 (1955).
- [8] I. Ojima, Ann. Phys. **137**, 1 (1981); H. Matsumoto, I. Ojima and H. Umezawa, *ibid.* **152**, 348 (1984); R. L. Kobes, G. W. Semenoff and N. Weiss, Z. Phys. C **29**, 371 (1985).
- [9] P. Elmfors, D. Persson and B. S. Skagerstam, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 480 (1993); and Astropart. Phys., **2**, 299 (1994).
- [10] F. T. Brandt, J. Frenkel and J. C. Taylor, Phys. Rev D **50**, 4110, (1994); F. T. Brandt and J. Frenkel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1705 (1995).
- [11] G. Barton, Ann. Phys. **200**, 271 (1990).
- [12] E. R. Speer, J. Math. Phys. **9**, 1404 (1968).
- [13] V. Weisskopf, Kgl. Danske Videnskab. Selskab. **14**, No. 6 (1936). An english traduction of this article can be found in the book by A. I. Muller, *Early Quantum Electrodynamics*: a source book (Cambridge University Press, 1995).
- [14] J. L. Tomazelli, in arXiv:hep-th/0201204 v2 (2002).
- [15] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz and L. P. Pitaevskii, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Butterworth Heinemann, Second Edition (1982), Reprinted (1997).

- [16] G. Rayski, Acta Phys. Polonica **9**, 129 (1948); W. Pauli and F. Villars, Rev. Mod. Phys. **21**, 434 (1949).
- [17] W. Dittrich and H. Gies, *Probing the Quantum Vacuum: Perturbative Effective Action Approach in Quantum Electrodynamics and Its Applications*, Springer-Verlag-NY (2000).